G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1910. 11

(S.R)

File No. 41—10

Serial Nos. 1 & 2

Later references.

S. R. 72-17 Kg (Dr.
B. Dec 17

29 P

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 & 2

Part B for July 1917.

SUBJECT.

Mannar's claim to the Ex: Revenue, from
1— ooly of Gowdagre & Alakere in the Maniya th
Cur of Chakkur, Malayur, Kalchuri & Sankatalli
Heggadevanakote Kl.

Sec: 1. Submitting the claim

Sec: 2 H 368-9 of: 23.7.17

Holds that the Mannar is privileged in
respect of villages and gives him an opportunity to pursue
enquiries in the 7 villages

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-18

(1

G.R.S. 11] 1910.11

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(S.R)

NOTES.

File No. 41-10.

FILE HEADING.

Inamdar's claim to the Sheist Rev: from toddy: of Gundagere & Hallere in the Nandya Taluk and of Chalkkm, Malijm, Kalehundi & Sankanahalli, Heggadevankote Tk

From

The Sheist Court in Mysore.

No. 215 Dated 5.7.1910 Received 6.7.1910.

Diary No. 58 Enclos. 1 File Spare Copies : Serial No. 1.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits the reasons of enquiry relating to the claim of the Inamdar to the toddy revenue of Gundagere & three villages.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

In Connexion with the Investigating officer & the Govt, the Sheist Court allows the claim in respect of Gundagere & Hallere in the Nandya Taluk and of Chalkkm, Malijm & Kalehundi in the Heggadevankote Taluk and

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—15,000—24-4-09.

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

S.R. File No. 41 of 1910

Serial No.

disallows it in the case of Sankanahalli.
2. The merits of the case are fully discussed in the Sheristadar's letter which is flagged X & they may be summed up as follows:-
3. In the case of all the villages, there is express alienation of the Sheristadar from toddy by the Samaas & firmans alienating them. The same is also clearly conceded by the Inam Settlement in the case of all the villages.
There is conclusive proof of enjoyment of the Sheristadar from toddy both before & after the Inam Settlement in the case of Gowdagere & Markere in the Nandyal Taluk. Though such proof is not so overwhelming in the case of Chakkere & Malajur (with its Thalle Kalehundi), yet it is conclusive that the Sheristadar from toddy was enjoyed both before & after the Inam Settlement, and within 12 years preceding the year 1892.
4. There is a toddy shop in each of the above four villages, testifying to the fact that what was conceded by the Samaad & the Inam Settlement &

X Paper under disposal

GPR—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

S. R File No. 41 of 1910-11

Serial No.

Enjoyed by the Inamdar all along was excise Rev: + not merely the usufruct of the toddy trees. In the case of Sankanahalli also, there is express alienation by the Sanad + recognition by the Inam Settlement. But the element of proof of enjoyment is altogether wanting by reason of the absence both shops + trees worth mentioning in the village.

5. The merits of the claim may also be exhibited by means of the subjoined Table-

Name of Village.	Is Sendi, a Tehal pain alienated by Sanad also?	Is the right to Sendi i.e toddy revenue conceded by Inam Sett: ?	Is proof of enjoyment adduced sufficient to establish the claim?	Is there both a toddy shop and grove?	Remarks.
Gundakere.	Sendi revenue	Toddy Revenue.	overwhelming proof.	both shops + grove.	The Investigating Officer the Asst + the Sub: Col are inclined to allow the claim.
Hallere.	Sendikhana sagwali...	Right to Toddy	- do -	- do -	
Chalkere.	Tehalpain.	do	Sufficient proof.	only a shop.	
Malipur (+ Halekundi).	- do - (Sendi Khane Thuttuvali)	- do -	do	- do -	
Sankanahalli	Tehalpain.	- do -	Doubtful proof.	Neither.	= do - to disallow the claim.

Submitted for orders.

K.S (70)
14/12/10.

14/12/10 6

The recommendation in this case is that the claim be allowed.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

Serial No.

in 5 out of the 6 villages that formed the subject of enquiry. In one of the villages there is a toddy shop as well & a fine. As the question of allowing the Inamdars the tax on trees tapped outside the village is among those under the consideration of Govt, this report may perhaps lie over till the rules are revised.

1/2/11

I think so too

5/7/11

Case worker please note

8 Kupis Ins "

8/7/11 Subs. may the claim be allowed in 5 out of 6 villages?

Revised

6/11/16.

to 7 ors.

7/11/13 277 22.11.16 (1½ & 2' diameter)

22/11

22/11/16

There is no doubt as regards the 5 villages (may be allowed) Sankankalli I doubt

(5

G.R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

802 File No. 41 of 1920

Serial No.

Lease Agreement - but the premises is leased by the grantor & his main settlement.

12 It does not appear that there is no ship or boat the Rivenda may be given possession of by lease Agreement - if the boat existed at any time prior to the time of investigation

Eff
23 11

975
30.11

Hdk 23/11

13 Subsequent to the investigation, I have come to the conclusion that the Rivenda villages in Hy K. Dn by Chakkan, Kadayan & Senkalli. The records may therefore be ordered to be kept up to date & can be done for one year

1/12/16

14 Maybe referred to the Devan

118
4.12.16.

2nd Member) Yes Eff
5.12

Hdk 4.12.16

H Devan) In order.

Hdk 5/12 16

17 Effect may be given to the orders of the 2nd Member as recorded on 23.11.16.

17.2.17

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-16

(6)

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | GR File No. 41 of 1910.

Serial No.	
	18. A draft is sent accordingly for orders.
	18/7/17
	Sd/
	19.7.17.
110 19-7-17	2nd member) 21.7.17
	Issue 21.7.17
2	To the Excise Comr. with records [illegible] Comptroller, No. Rl. 368-9 dated 23-7-17 Recognizes the claim of the inamdar referred to in serial 1 to the Excise revenue from toddy except in the case of his inam village of Shankahalli, in which latter case he is given opportunity to prove his enjoyment of revenue prior to 1892-3.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70,000—17-12-15

SR 41-6 1
Sl. 1

SR 19 558

From

H. H. Sparkes Esquire
Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esq. B.A.,
Offg. *Secretary to the Government of*
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Depts.

Dated 5th July 1940.

Despatched

Received

General No. 215

with Enclosure No.

SUBJECT.

Forwarding the records of enquiry relating to the claim of Inamdar Veene Bakshi Subbamma to the Excise Revenue from Toddy of his Sarvamanya villages of Gowdagere and Alakere in the Nanjangud Taluk and Chakkir, Nealigur, Kalehundi and Thankhalli in the Heggadadevankote Taluk.

(PB--2,000--31-7-09

No 215
With enclosure

OFFICE OF THE EXCISE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, dated.....2nd..July...1910..

From

H.H. Sparkes Esquire,
Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

C.C. Balasundram Iyer Esquire, B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

I have the honor to forward herewith the records of enquiry relating to the claim of Inamdar Veene Bhakshi Subbanna to the Excise revenue from toddy of his Sarvamanya villages of Gowda-gere and Alakere in the Mandya Taluk and Chakkur, Lalipur, Kalehundi and Sankahalli in the Heggadadevanahalli Taluk received with the Mysore District Deputy Commissioner's Memo: No.717, dated 8th/10th June 1910.

2. As regards Gowdagere village, the original Sannad has not been produced, but in the copy of the Nirup dated 6th February 1826 issued by His late Highness Sri Krishnaraja Wodayar Bahadur, the item of "Bajebab" and any revenue that might accrue from any source is specifically mentioned as having been granted. The fact that the item of toddy revenue was included in this general clause is confirmed by another Nirup, dated 26th Sptember 1826, granted by the same Soverign as having conceded the Shendi revenue. The amount of 207 and odd Kanterio pagodas per annum derivable from this

(2

this source was dirceted to be added to the beriz of the Sarvamanyam rights already in the enjoyment of the Inamdar. That the amount is the beriz of Shendi khana is noted in the column 14 of the Inam Register herein enclosed. This Inam Register also concedes right to the item of toddy revenue as noted in column 20. Besides under items enjoyed " Date trees " specifically appear in B 5 of column 19.

L.

Col: 9. 3. Now as regards enjoyment, reference is invited to the two Mutchalikas dated 22-11-1881, executed by Messrs Davy Muddappa & Co., infavour of Seshadri Sastry, stating that they would work the toddy shop in Gowdagere village from toddy drawn from the trees in the said village paying Rs15/- a month to the Inamdar from 1-12-81 to 31-3-84 and that if this toddy should be utilized elsewhere they would pay one anna and two pies per ghada of 24 seers except to Maddur kasaba shop whereto the rate would be 7 pies per ghada. Another Mutchlika, dated 25-5-1881 executed by Nidaghatta Annia binding himself to work the shop from 1-6-81 to 31-5-82 for Rs180/- per annum is also produced. A tender executed by Anne Gowda to work the concern is also enclosed. A yadi dated 27-8-85 from Maddur Firka Abkari office to the Inamdar to direct the supply of toddy to the Maddur kasba toddy shop as per mamul is in the file. Another Mutchalika, dated 22-2-87 by Somanahalli Venkata Setty and another to work the toddy concern brings

(3

brings the enjoyment to 28-2-88. Then follow several Mutchalikas carrying the enjoyment to 31-3-90. Lastly, the recipt dated 5-8-92, of Rs107-1-9 being part of the award of toddy revenue on half the share of the village adjudged by the Deputy Commissioner of Mysor in Rev: Mis: case No.23 of 1891-2 completes the enjoyment of 12 years preceding 1892-93. As the village has both a toddy shop and a date grove under both of which sources revenue has been realized by Government, I concur with the investigating officer and the Deputy Commissioner in holding that the present Inamdar, who has derived his title by inheritance, his father having purchased the same from the leneal descendants of the original grantee is entitled to the toddy Revenue of this village, and I, accordingly, allow his claim under rules 2, 3,5,6 and 9 of Government order No.2074-83/ R.F. 166-92, dated 2nd August 1901.

4. Now coming to the Alkere village of the same Taluk the Sannad grants " Eachal Pairu" and in the Nirup granted on the same day the " Shendikhana Saguvali" is assessed at 11 pagodas per annum. The Inam register of enquiry pertaining to this village recognizes date trees as having been allowed to the Inamdar in col: 19, and under " items enjoyed" specific mention is made of "date trees" in B 6. In column 20 the right to toddy is conceded. In the Inam title-deed also the item of toddy is mentioned as having been conceded. These documents besides affording ample evidence of the enjoyment of the toddy revenue by the Inamdar are further

(4

7

further corroborated by the Mutchalika, dated 13-10-1870, executed by toddy contractors Messrs Taylor & Co., agreeing to work the Inam shop from the Inam grove from 1-4-1870 to 31-3-1875 for a monthly payment of Rs 11-6-4. Thereafter the jamabandi accounts from 1876-to 81 and other years are produced to show that Mohatarfa tax of Rs 2/- was levied on the shop of this village. Correspondence between the Inamdar and the then Abkari contractors also throws some light on the enjoyment. Then follows the Mutchalika dated 16-8-1887 executed by Messrs R.C. Muddappa & Co., agreeing to work this shop at a monthly rental of Rs 11-15-11 from 1-4-87 to 31-3-1890. Lastly, the darkhast dated 30-5-90 tendered by one -- Venkata Setty offering to work the Inam shop at a monthly rental of Rs 25/- from 1-6-1890 to 31-5-1892 is put in to show the continuity of the enjoyment up to the period required by the rules.

Vide col: 7.

5. Under these circumstances, I agree with the decision arrived at by the investigating officer and the Deputy Commissioner, that the Inamdar's claim should be admitted. This village has -- devolved on the present Inamdar under circumstances similar to Gowdagere disposed of above. As this village also contains a -- toddy shop and a date grove the claim falls under rules 2,3,5,6 and 9 of the Inam rules of 1901.

6. The next village of Chakkur in the Heggadadevankote Taluk has come to the present Inamdar by descent. The Sannad produced has conceded "Eachal Pairu" and the extract of the Inam Register

8

(5

I Register has given the right to the item of toddy. As to proof of enjoyment a yadi, dated 28-6-73 from the Abkari contractors of the Ashtagram Division, to the present Inamdar's father, described as Alkere and Chakkur Manyadar, asking for information as to the payment of the Sarvamanyam ampunt is relied on. This is followed by another yadi No.26, dated 11-6-81, written by Messrs Davy --- Muddappa & Co., in general terms stating that the affairs connect -ed with the toddy contract of the Inamdar's villages in the -- Mandya and the Heggadadevankote Taluks should be settled between themselves and asking to send somebody to collect the amount due to him with the dakla of having been paid by the late Abkari contractors up to March last. The Mutchalika executed by Messrs R.C. Muddappa & Co., on 16-8-87 referred to supra under Alkere village mentions a monthly amount of Rs1-11-10 as that agreed for Chakkur and other villages from 1-4-87 to 31-3-1890. The Inamdar's Khangi accounts show receipt of some amounts for this village. No proof of enjoyment has, however, been adduced from 1890 to 1892 and this break the Deputy Commissioner recommends, may be overlooked. This village has only a shop and no date grove and if in spite of this break the Inamdar's title to toddy revenue is recognized, his claim will fall under clauses 3,5,6 and 12 of the rules of August 1901.

7. The village of Maliyur with its dhakle Kalihundi must stand

16

G.

stand or fall with Chakkur just discussed. The Sannad grants " Eachal Pairu" and adds four pagodas as " Kattemane bajebabu Shendi khane huttuvali" to the total beriz of the village. The

J. Extract of the Inam Register concedes the right to the item of toddy. The same documents produced in the case of Chakkur village

Col: 7. are relied on to prove enjoyment in the case of this village also. If the break referred to above is condoned, this village which has only a toddy shop has to be decided on the same lines as those of the village with which it is so closely connected.

8. As regards the last village Shankahalli, the Khangi accounts

A. include this village with Chakkur and Maliyur and the Sannad x concedes the "Eachal Pairu" but in Inam Register x clause 3 of col:19,

B. the Sayer, Abkari and Forest rights have been reserved except -- Shendi which the Agent says he has been enjoying. The Mutchalika referred to for enjoyment makes no specific mention of this village, which, however, is incidentally referred to as being included in the phrase " ಕಟ್ಟಿಮನೆ ಬಜೆಬಾಬು ಶೆಂದಿ ಖಾನೆ ಹುತ್ತುವಳಿ ". But apparently the Agent cannot have been enjoying any excise revenue as there is neither toddy shop nor date grove in this village. Under these circumstances, I concur with the investigating officer and the Deputy Commissioner in disallowing the claim.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

H. H. Sparks

Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

id into by the Distrect Enme Officer Mysore District

Village of the toddy revenue from the Government Kharij Cultivation U. Sheshachala Inamdar. Y. Inamdars Kharaji Accounts. 18. Inamdars Statement given before the Distrct Enme Officer.

	6	Witnesses examined	
Claim allowed under rules 2, 3, 5, 6 and 9 of the Inam Toddy Rules of August-1901.	7	Finalising	
A Sum of Rs 1305. 2. 6 has been paid on account of the present Village and the Village of Alkere in the Inamdary Taluk and Chamrajnagar Taluk in the Mysore District vide Advance Register of this Office and the Statement of payments received from the Comptroller dated 30. 8. 1903.	8	Amount advanced on account of the toddy revenue of the Village	Grounds of decision.
	9.		

The Village contains a toddy shop and a date grove. The shop sold annually by the Deputy Commissioner and the other was being farmed out up to the end of the year 1896-97 when the grove farming system prevailed.

The Village was alienated on Sarvamanya tenure by His Highness the late Maharaja Sri Krishnaraja Wodayar Bahadur to the Samukhada Vidwansa Rajeshwara Sastri under a Nirop dated the 6th February 1826 addressed to the then and successive Amildars of Hiadder. Enme Sheshia the father of the present Claimant obtained possession of one half of the Village in the year 1874 through a sale effected by a lineal heir of the original alinee and of the other half in the year 1889 under a Civil Court Sale Certificate. Vide Inam Superintendents' remarks in Cols 18 and 19 of the Inam Enquiry Register (Documents Nos 3 and 4). Thus the present Inamdar Enme Subbanna derives his right and title to the Village by inheritance from his aforesaid father. The original Sanad has not been produced.

In the Nirop referred to above

Calendar of a claim to the Exercise revenue from toddy enjoyed

Documents relied upon

1	Name of Taluk
2	Name of Hobli
3	Name of Inam Village
4	Name of Inamdar

Mandya

Mandya

Gowdagere (Sarvamanya)

Vellur Bakshi Subbanna

1 Nirroop dated the 6th February 1826 issued by His Highness the late Maharaja Sri Krishnaraja Wodayar ordering the alienation of the Village in favor of Samukhada Vidwamsa Rajeswara sastri. 2. Nirroop dated the 26th September 1826 issued by His Highness the late Maharaja Sri Krishnaraja Wodayar specifying the alienation or Sarvamanya tenure of certain date area of the Village and directing the refund of the revenue so far realised by the Sirkar to the alienee. 3. Copy of the Register of Inam Enquiry (Sheet no 226) Conducted in 1879 and concluded in 1901. 4. The Seringapatam Munsiffs Court Sale certificate in C. S. no. 855 of 1885. 5, 6, 7, 8. Muchalikas dated 22.11.1881, 25.5.1881, executed respectively by Messrs Davy Muddappa & Co, Government Abkari Contractors, and Nidagattada Annia and darkhast dated 23.5.1881 by Nidagattada Anne Gowda binding themselves to pay several sums for working the toddy concern in the Inam Village between 1.12.1881 & 31.3.1884. 9. Yadi no. 74 dated 27.8.1885 from the Mudden Sirka Abkari Office to the then Inamdar of the Village desiring the latter to direct the supply of toddy to the Sub-renter of the toddy shop at Madden as per mamool. 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15 Muchalikas dated 22.2.1887, 9.4.1888 & 15.8.1887, 14.8.1887, 16.3.1888 and 19.4.1889 executed respectively by Venkatasetti of Somanahalli, Kari boye Gowda and others, Messrs R.G. Muddappa & Co, Abkari Contractors, and Taluk Dondegowda agreeing to work the concern for several periods between 1.3.1887 and 31.3.1890. 16. Records connected with the Deputy Commissioners Court Rev: Misc. Case no 23 of 1891-92 wherein an award was given to a mortgagee of the [illegible] dated March 1891 against the

"Taje kob" occurs among the items of revenue specifically alienated by the Sovereign. But no direct evidence, such as the inclusion of toddy revenue in the beriz alienated, is to be found in the body of the Niroop itself. All doubt on the point disperses, however, when it is established by another Niroop, issued seven months later by the Maharaja, that Sendi revenue valued at 207 and odd Kanteroi pagodas per annum derivable from the village was specially directed to be added to the beriz of the Sarvamanyam rights already in the enjoyment of the Inamdar. It is also verifiable from an account referring to a till later year viz the Huzur Sarva Inam Patte of 1833, noted by the Inam settlement (vide col 14 of the Inam Enquiry Register), that this amount of Sendi revenue represented the entire beriz of the village under "Sendi Khanna."

In the Inam Settlement conducted in the year 1879 the 'right to toddy' is conceded.

Thus the title of the Inamdar to the Excise revenue from toddy is seen to be supported by the Sanads and recognized by the Inam Settlement.

The next point to be determined in the present investigation is whether the revenue was in the enjoyment of the Inamdar for the period required by rules, and proof is furnished in that behalf by documentary evidence of a threefold description viz, the Michalikas the Inamdar's Khangi Accounts and the records of the Rev. Inis case No. 23 of 1891-92. The Michalikas (documents Nos 5 to 15) which are agreements executed by the late Abkari Contractors and others who held the toddy leases of the village from time to time, testify to a continuous enjoyment of the revenue by the holders of the village from 1881 to 1884 and again from 1887 to 1890. The Inamdar's Khangi Accounts in so

far as they contain credit-entries of sums received on account of the toddy revenue of the Village, afford adequate proof of enjoyment during the intervening period between 1884 and 1887. Lastly, the records connected with the Revenue Miscellaneous Case named above, in which a mortgagee of half the Village successfully sued the toddy contractor V. Sheshachela Mudaliar for the revenue of the two years ending with the 31st March 1892, complete the evidence on the score of enjoyment which thus extends to the period of 12 years preceding 1892-93.

Under the above circumstances the claim of the Inamdar to the toddy & arrack revenue of the Village is to be held as established, the payment of the revenue realised by Government since 1892-93 being regulated by rules 2, 3, 5, 6 and 9 of the Inam Toddy Rules issued with the Government order No. 2074-83-R. 166-92 dated 2nd August 1901.

H. Sectt. (K)
District Excise Officer
Compd. and
Kurnool District.

The Inscription of this village
'Sarangpur' is the same as that
of 'Asoka' with different
He has been able to advance
in spite of his opponents by the
help of his Rules - With the same
& their help one can succeed in
business & this boy also can
at this small village -

Business qualities, it must
be kept out the business can
succeed in establishing business &
the main aim of this village

Received

8/6/10

Deputy Commissioner,
Mehsana District

toddy Excise revenue of the village which, based on the average for the preceding 5 years, was assessed at 11 pagodas (Kanteroi) per annum. In the Inam Settlement Conducted in the year 1879 the item of toddy is Conceded. The right and title of the Inamdar to the Excise revenue from toddy of the village are thus Validated by terms of the original Sanad and the Inam Settlement.

As regards the question of enjoyment of the revenue by the Inamdar it is worthy of note that, as per testimony of the Sanad itself, a regular source from which the revenue could be derived existed in the village at the time of its alienation and that the early holders of the village were actually in the enjoyment of the privilege conferred upon them by the Sanad. Document Nos. 6 and 7 named in Column 5 hereof besides proving a fair enjoyment of the revenue by the Inamdar in the days previous to the Inam Settlement, point also to the fact that the Sanad privileges respecting the toddy revenue of the village were being freely availed of by the Inamdar at and immediately after the Settlement. The Combined data furnished by the village Mohatarfa accounts (Documents Nos. 10 to 15) and the Inamdar's Khanji accounts afford tolerable proof of the fact that a toddy shop has worked continuously in the village from the year 1876-77 to 1890-91 and that the Excise revenue derived therefrom went to the Inamdar. The Muchalika dated the 16th August 1887 executed by the

Abkari Contractor R. C. Muddappa & Co. and the Darkhast of the toddy renter Somanahalli Venkata Setti for the Corroborate the fact that the revenue was in the enjoyment of the Inamdar during the five years that preceded the Year 1892-93.

Having regard to the foregoing circumstances which satisfactorily establish the validity of the Inamdar's title to the toddy Excise revenue and adequately prove his enjoyment of that revenue, the claim has to be admitted. As the village contains both a toddy shop and a date grove which have been sold annually since the commencement of the present system of toddy administration in the year 1892-93, the payment has to be regulated by rules 2, 3, 5, 6 and 9 of the Inamdar's toddy rules of August, 1901.

H. Seetharam
District Excise Officer
Coorg
Mercara District

[illegible] case [illegible] which the Inamdar has been able to prove his claim to excise revenue [illegible] the Deputy Commissioner [illegible] allowing the claim [illegible] [illegible] the Deputy Commissioner [illegible] & held that the Inamdar [illegible] the excise revenue as stated above

D/6/10

Name of Taluk — Maudya

Name of Hobli — Maudya

Name of Inam Village — Alakere (Sarvamanya)

Name of Inamdar — Veene Bakshi Subbanna

Calendar of Claims to the Excise revenue from toddy

Documents relied upon

1 Sanad dated the 12th February 1818 granted by H. H. the late Maharaja Sri Krishnarajendra Wodeyar Bahadur

2 Niroop dated -do- issued to Amil Gurusiddayya of Maudya Taluk by H. H. the late Maharaja.

3. and 4. The Register of the Inam Enquiry Conducted in 1878-79 and the Inam title Deed No. 57021—11—35 dated 1.12.1880 granted to Setu Rao and Subba Rao.

5 Authenticated Copy of the registered Sale Deed dated 6.12.1879 executed by Setu Rao and Subba Rao in favor of Sheshia.

6 Mouchelika dated 13.10.1870 executed by Abkari Contractor Messrs R. N Daly & Co. agreeing to work the toddy Concern of the Village from 1.4.1870 to 31.3.1875 @ an annual rental of Rs 136.12.0.

7. Yadi No. 26 dated 11.6.1881 from the Office of the Abkari Contractors Messrs Davy Muddappa & Co., to the address of Sheshia stating inter alia that the affairs connected with the toddy Concern of the present and other villages of the Inamdar be settled between themselves in future and requesting the Inamdar to arrange for the settlement of the Contract subsequent to 31.3.1881.

8 Mouchelika dated 16.8.1887 executed by Messrs R.C. Muddappa & Co agreeing to work the toddy Concern of the present and that of the villages of Chakkur, Malipur and Shenkahalli for a total monthly rental of Rs 13.11.9 from 1.4.1887 to 31.3.1890.

9 Darkhast dated 30.5.1890 tendered by Somanhalli Venkatasetti offering to work the toddy shop of the Village for a month rental of Rs 25/ from 1.6.1890 to 31.5.1892.

10 Is Village Jamabundi Accounts showing the levy of a Mohatarfa tax of Rs 2/- per annum on the toddy shop of the Village between the Years 1876-77 and 1890-91.

16 Inamdars Khanji Accounts. 17. Statement of the Inamdar taken before the District Excise Officer.

Witness examined

Veene Bakshi Subbanna.

Calendar of a claim to the Concise revenue from toddy &c.

Heggadevankote	Name of Taluk.
Saragur	Name of Hobli
Chakkur (Sarvamanya)	Name of Inam Village
Veere Bakshi Subbanna	Name of Inamdar.

Documents relied upon

1 Sanad dated the 22nd January 1818 granted by H.H. the late Maharaja Sri Krishnaraja Wodeyar Bahadur.

2. Extract from the Register of the Inam Enquiry Conducted in 1879.

3. Ashtagram Division Abkari Contractors Office Yadi No. 2294 dated the 28th June 1873 addressed to Manigadar Veere Shesharma requesting the he instructed as to whom the monthly payments of the toddy Contract amounts has to be made and stating that they have no objection to abide by the instructions of the manigadar.

4. Yadi No. 26 dated 11.6.1881 from the Office of the Abkari Contractors Messrs Devy Muddappa & Co. to the address of Sheshia purporting that the affairs connected with the toddy Contract of the villages in the Inamdari and the Heggadevankote Taluks belonging to the Inamdar have to be settled between themselves thereforward and that the Inamdar should arrange for the settlement of the Contract from 31.3.1881.

5 Muchalika executed by the Abkari Contractors R.C. Muddappa & Co. agreeing inter alia to work the toddy Concerns of Chakkur and the villages of Maligur and Kankahalli for a monthly rental of Rs 13.11.9 from 1.4.1887 to 31.3.1890.

6. Inamdars Khanji Account taken before the Division Concise Officer.

7 Statement of the Inamdar taken before the Division Concise Officer.

Veere Bakshi Subbanna	Witnesses examined

ised with by the District Excise Officer, Mysore District.

Claim allowed under rules 3, 5, 6 and 12 of the Inamdars' toddy rules of August, 1901.

Serial No.	Amount of assurance paid on account of the present and the Village Office and Mahajans and Banks and Malgis and Shanbhogue and Kaniga on account of the toddy revenue in the Kelgi Inam Settlement.	Remarks.
1305. 2.6	on account of the toddy revenue of the Village.	

Grounds of decision

"The only source of toddy Excise revenue in the village is a toddy shop which is being regularly sold from the year 1892-93. There is no date grove in the village.

"The present Inamdar derives his title to the village through inheritance from his grand father Vrene Vidwamsa Cutcheri Lakshi Venkata Subbayya to whom it was originally granted on Sarvamanya tenure by His Highness the late Maharaja Krishnaraja Wodeyar Bahadur under a Sanad dated the 22nd January 1818. The usual term "Eachalpain" occurs along with other rights alienated by the Sanad which does not, however, explicitly show that the toddy Excise revenue was actually represented by the term. In the Inam Settlement conducted in the year 1879, however, the Sanad is taken to have conveyed the full toddy right to the Inamdar. In fact the

Inam Settlement conceded "the right to toddy" to the Inamdar. Thus both the terms of the Sanad and the decree of the Inam Settlement unanimously support the claim which has now to be based on an adequate proof of enjoyment.

Documents Nos 3 and 4 named in Column 5 hereof are sufficiently indicative of the fact that the Inamdar freely exercised his toddy rights in the village between the years 1873 and 1881. The Muchelika dated the 16th August 1887 executed by the Abkari Contractors R.C. Muddappa & Co., and the Inamdar's Khanji accounts which contain the receipt entries of sums paid by the Contractors on account of the toddy revenue of the present village in common with that derived from the sister villages of Maliyur and Shankahalli, together go to prove a fairly continuous enjoyment of ten years between 1880 and 1891. No proof was adduced for the two years immediately preceding 1892-93. But in view of the fact that the Inamdar's enjoyment dates from a time considerably prior to the period of 12 years specified by rules it seems equitable to accept the available proof on the score of enjoyment as being satisfactory.

Hence the claim may be allowed under rules

rules 3, 5, 6 and 12 of the rules appended to Government Order No. 2074–83 R.T. 166–92 dated the 2nd August, 1901.

H. Scethatti
District Excise Officer
Coorg Dt.
Mercara District.

[illegible]

5/5/10

Deputy Commissioner
Mercara District.

toddy enquired into by the District Excise Officer, Mysore district.

the village

Grounds of decision.

9

Tahsildar and Amin's remarks

There is neither a toddy shop nor any date grove worth the name in the Village.

The Village was alienated on Sarvamanya tenure by His Highness the late Maharaja Sri Krishnaraja Wodayar Bahadur under a Sanad dated the 22nd September 1816 to Samudra Khada Veere Vidvamsa Catteri Bakshi Venkata Subbayya, the grand father of the present Inamdar. The Sanad mentions "Tachalpain" among the rights conveyed to the alienee. No evidence is forthcoming to prove that this "Tachalpain" of the Sanad represented the toddy Excise revenue derivable from the Village at the time of its alienation. In fact the very details exhibited by the old accounts relating to the years 1823, 1831 and 1838 which are reproduced in Column 14 of the Inam Enquiry Register (Document No 2) contain no references to the Sendi revenue of the Village. It is thus doubtful whether a source of toddy revenue ever existed in the Village at the time of its alienation. The testimony of the Inam Settlement only confirms the doubt

in So far as the Inam Assistant's note recorded in Column 19 respecting the enjoyment of the Sendi revenue by the Inamdar is also expressed in doubtful language. The Confirmation order passed by the Inam Superintendent expressly reserves all Government rights to Abkari which latter, it may be observed, is a term usually taken by the Inam Settlement to comprise all excise items, toddy included. Hence it may be concluded that the Settlement does not concede the right to the Inamdar.

Further the documentary evidence brought forward in proof of enjoyment of the toddy revenue does not specifically prove it in the case of this Village. The receipt entries in the Inamdar's Khanji Accounts make mention of Chakkum and Maliyur only when they do give any details of such receipts, but consistently omit the name of the present Village. It is thus doubtful that the toddy Contractors R. C. Muddappa &c ever worked a toddy shop in the Village as per their Muchalika dated the 16th August 1887.

Lastly it is provable from the annual Sale Registers of the Heggadevankote Taluk that there has never been a toddy shop in the Village

A calendar of a claim to the Excise revenue from

Heggaddevankote	1	Name of Taluk
Saragoor	2	Name of Hobli
Shankahalli (Sarvamanya)	3	Name of Inam Village
Veerie Bakshi Subbanna	4	Name of Inamdar.
1. Sannad dated the 22nd September 1816 issued by His Highness the late Maharaja Sri Krishnaraja Wodayar. 2. The Register of the Inam Enquiry conducted in the year 1879. 3. Muchalika dated the 16th August 1887 executed by the Abkari Contractors R. C. Muddabba &c. 4. The Inamdar's Khanji Accounts. 5. Statement of the Inamdar taken before the District Excise Officer.	5	Documents relied upon
Veerie Bakshi Subbaraya	6	Witnesses examined
Claim found unsustainable and hence it is disallowed.	7	Finding
Vide the Calendars of Gowdagere, Alakere in the Mandya [illegible] in the Heggaddevankote Taluk	8	Amount of advance paid on account of [illegible]

since the year 1892-93, and there being no data given either the Inamdar has nothing to lay claim to. Under these circumstances it is to be held that the Inamdar's right to the Coddy revenue of the Village is not sustainable and has therefore to be disallowed.

H. Seetharam
District Excise Officer
Compiled/ Mysore District.

Not necessary
Our omission of inclusion of the Inamdar
and full & satisfactory - except the Confirmation
Mutchelika, this is not a copy of letter
from the claim of the Inamdar,
but Memo - Hence, the claim by
the is disallowed

Recommended/
8/6/04
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

Calendar of a claim to the Toddy Excise Revenue

Heggaddevankote	1	Name of Taluk
Saragur	2	Name of Hobli
Maliyur - Kali Hundi (Sarvamanya)	3	Name of Inam Village
Veene Bakshi Subbanna	4	Name of Inamdar
1. Sannad dated the 28th February 1829 issued by His Highness the late Maharaja Sri Krishnaraja Wodayar Bahadur. 2. Extract from the Register of the Inam Enquiry conducted in 1879. 3. Ashtagram Division Abkari Contractor's Office Yadi No: 2294 dated the 28th June 1873 addressed to Manyadar Veena Sheshanna requesting instructions as to whom the monthly payment of the toddy contract amount has to be made and stating that they the contractors have no objection to abide by the instructions of the Manyadar. 4. Yadi No: 26 dated 11. 6. 1881 from the Office of the Abkari Contractors Messrs Davy Muddappa & Co to the address of Sheshia purporting that the affairs connected with the toddy contract of the Villages in the Inamdar and the Heggaddevankote Taluks belonging to the Inamdar have to be settled between themselves thence forwards and that the Inamdar should arrange for the settlement of the contract from 31. 3. 1881. 5. Muchalika dated 16. 8. 1887 executed by the Abkari Contractor R. C. Muddappa & Co agreeing inter alia to work the toddy concern of the present village and the village of Maliyur for a monthly rental of Rs. 13. 11. 9 from 1. 4. 1887 to 31. 3. 1890. 6. Inamdar's Khanji Accounts. 7. Statement of the Inamdar taken before the District Excise Officers.	5	Documents relied upon
Veene Bakshi Subbanna	6	Witnesses examined
Claim allowed under S. 5, 6 and 12 of the Inamdar's Toddy Rules of August 1901.	7	Finding

, from toddy enquired into by the District Excise Officer in the same district.

A sum of Rs 1305-2-6 has been fixed on account of toddy revenue of the village and the village and Shakkarakeri in the Hosagadagur taluk and Shakkarakeri in the Hagalavadi taluk.	Grounds of decision.
8	9

There is only a toddy shop in the village but no date grove. The village with its "dakhali" Kalihundi was gifted to Samukhada Veere Vidwamsa Cheri Bakshi Venkata Subbayya, grandfather of the present holder Veere Subbanna, by His Highness the late Maharaja Sri Krishnaraja Wodayar Bahadur under a Sanad dated the 28th February 1829. The right to "Cachalpaidike is conveyed by the Sanad and it is further deducible from the same Sanad that the term had reference to the "Sendi Khane Huttuvali" or the revenue derived from toddy shops which constituted an amount of 4 pagodas (Kanteroi) to the total alienated being of 232 odd pagodas for the village. The Inam Settlement conducted in the year 1879 concedes the right to the item of toddy. The remarks made in the Calendar of the Sister Village of Shakkere respecting the enjoyment of the revenue by the Sanadar apply to this village as well, and fairly prove the enjoyment required by the rules.

Maligur is a Bechirakh village but

10

its dakhali Kalikundi has a toddy shop which is being sold annually since 1892-93. There is no date grove in either of the Villages. Hence the claim has to be admitted under rules 3, 5, 6 and 12 of the Inamdars' toddy rules of August 1901.

H. Seetharam
District Excise Officer
Coorg
Mysore District

This village is held on Saranjam tenure as per Chapter - Inspector, the conditions affecting the villages are same as in the Saranjam tenure - I hold that the Inamdar has sufficiently proved his right to enjoyment of the toddy Revenue for the required period and that his claim has to be admitted.

8/6/10

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

2 217

Holds that the mandamus prayed for in respect of 6 villages & finishing an opportunity to prove enjoyment in the villages.

G.R.S. 28]

File No. 4/70

Serial No. 2

*Diary No. of letter replied to*_____

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(SR)

READ—

, *the* 191 .

Letter no: 215 of dtd 5/7/1917 from the Excise Commr in Mysore, submitting the record of enquiry relating to the excise revenue from toddy of Gowda

Date of issue 23-7-17

Signed by Sreenivasaiya

~~ORDER THEREON.—No.~~

gere and Alkere villages in the Mandya Tk and Chakkur, Malyoor, Kalkunde and Shankaballi in the Heggadevana Kote Tk.

over.

SUBJECT.

GPB—50,000—1-12-12

Order No 76 368-9 & 23rd July '17

In the samad or minutes granting these villages ~~exclusive~~ express alienation is made of the excise revenue from toddy and the same is conceded by the Inam Settlement Govt consider that sufficient proof of enjoyment of the revenue has been adduced by the miandar in all the villages, except Shankahalli, ~~Govt~~ and hold that the miandar is entitled to the revenue in question of the first five villages.

2. As regards Shankahalli, ~~Govt consider that~~ the miandar may be given further opportunity to prove his enjoyment of the said revenue prior to 1892-3.

May this be put as usual?

19.7.17.

Rev Leg to Govt.

To
The Excise Commr in Mysore with record
& the Comptroller to Govt.
by order
18/9/17.